

PSH/GSH compared to waiting list for eating disorder

Patient or population: patients with eating disorder

Settings: Diagnoses of AN,BN,BED or EDNOS, either gender, children, adolescents and adults, treated in community, primary,secondary or tertiary services

Intervention: PSH/GSH

Comparison: waiting list

Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of Participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Waiting list	PSH/GSH				
Bingeing	Study population		RR 0.72 (0.47 to 1.09)	287 (3 studies)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{1,2,3}	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH sammenlignet med venteliste målt med overspising ved endt behandling.
Number not abstinent from bingeing (end of treatment) Follow-up: 0-12 months	889 per 1000	640 per 1000 (418 to 969)				
	Moderate					
Purging	Study population		RR 0.86 (0.68 to 1.08)	178 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{1,4}	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH sammenlignet med venteliste målt med oppkast ved endt behandling.
Number not abstinent from purging (end of treatment) Follow-up: 0-12 months	896 per 1000	771 per 1000 (609 to 968)				
	Moderate					
BMI	The mean bmi ranged across control groups from		202 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ moderate ⁵	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH sammenlignet med venteliste målt med BMI ved endt behandling.	
BMI (end of treatment) Follow-up: 6-12 months	23,1-31,9 BMI	The mean bmi in the intervention groups was 0.75 lower (2.05 lower to 0.55 higher)				
General psychiatric and mental state symptomatology	The mean general psychiatric and mental state symptomatology ranged across control groups from		202 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ moderate ⁴	Det er signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH sammenlignet med venteliste målt med generelle symptomer ved endt behandling.	
Mean scores on any general psychiatric symptom rating scale at end of treatment Follow-up: 6-12 months	1.01-1.2	The mean general psychiatric and mental state symptomatology in the intervention groups was 0.32 lower (0.51 to 0.13 lower)				
Mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms (end of treatment)	The mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms (end of treatment) ranged across control groups from		194 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{5,6}	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH sammenlignet med venteliste målt med symptomer på depresjon ved endt behandling.	
Men scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms at end of treatment Follow-up: 0-12 months	19,8-20,9	The mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms (end of treatment) in the intervention groups was 1.06 lower (8.92 lower to 6.8 higher)				

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio;

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

¹ Heterogeneity, I-square = 91%

² Wide 95% CI

³ Total number of events is less than 300

⁴ Only two studies, total number of events less than 300

⁵ Only two studies, population size is less than 400, wide 95% CI

⁶ Heterogeneity, I squared = 77% (p=0.04)

PSH/GSH compared to Placebo/attention control for eating disorder

Patient or population: patients with eating disorder

Settings: Diagnoses of AN,BN,BED or EDNOS, either gender, children, adolescents and adults, treated in community, primary,secondary or tertiary services

Intervention: PSH/GSH

Comparison: Placebo/attention control

Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of Participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Placebo/attention control	PSH/GSH				
Bingeing Number not abstinent form bingeing (end of treatment)	Study population		RR 0.62 (0.44 to 0.89)	52 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ¹	Det er signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH enn placebo/attention control målt med overspising ved endt behandling.
	867 per 1000	537 per 1000 (381 to 771)				
	Moderate					
BMI BMI (end of treatment)	The mean bmi in the control groups was 35.8 BMI	The mean bmi in the intervention groups was 2.70 lower (6.71 lower to 1.31 higher)		52 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ¹	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH enn placebo/attention control målt med BMI ved endt behandling.
Mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms Mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms at end of treatment	The mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms in the control groups was 11.4 Beck Depression Inventory	The mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms in the intervention groups was 1.90 lower (7.16 lower to 3.36 higher)		52 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ¹	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH enn placebo/attention control målt med symptomer på depresjon ved endt behandling.

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio;

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

¹ Only one study with few participants (n<100) Wide 95% CI.

PSH/GSH compared to other formal psychotherapy for Eating disorder

Patient or population: patients with Eating disorder

Settings: Diagnoses of AN,BN,BED or EDNOS, either gender, children, adolescents and adults, treated in community, primary,secondary or tertiary services

Intervention: PSH/GSH

Comparison: other formal psychotherapy

Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of Participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Other formal psychotherapy	PSH/GSH				
Bingeing Number not abstinent from bingeing (end of treatment) Follow-up: 0-12 months	Study population		RR 1.48 (0.58 to 3.75)	143 (2 studies)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ very low ^{1,2,3,4}	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH sammenlignet med andre former for psykoterapi målt med overspising ved endt behandling.
	667 per 1000	987 per 1000 (387 to 1000)				
	Moderate					
Purging Number not abstinent from purging (end of treatment) Follow-up: 0-12 months	694 per 1000	889 per 1000 (514 to 1000)	RR 1.28 (0.74 to 2.21)	143 (2 studies)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ very low ^{1,2,3,4}	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH sammenlignet med andre former for psykoterapi målt med oppkast ved endt behandling.
BMI BMI (end of treatment) Follow-up: 12 months	The mean bmi in the control groups was 20.74 BMI	The mean bmi in the intervention groups was 0.99 higher (0.01 to 1.97 higher)		81 (1 study)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ very low ^{1,4,5}	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH sammenlignet med andre former for psykoterapi målt med BMI ved endt behandling.
Mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms Mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms at end of treatment Follow-up: 0-12 months	The mean mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms ranged across control groups from 9,9-18,1	The mean mean scores on any scale measuring depressive symptoms in the intervention groups was 0.03 lower (0.59 lower to 0.54 higher)		186 (3 studies)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ very low ^{2,3,6,7}	Det er ikke signifikant bedre å få PSH/GSH sammenlignet med andre former for psykoterapi målt med symptomer på depresjon ved endt behandling.

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio;

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

¹ Risk of bias is unclear, due to unclear allocation and randomisation in all studies

² Heterogeneity, I-squared is more than 70%

³ Wide 95% CI

⁴ Only 2 studies, number of total events less than 300

⁵ Only one study with few participants, wide 95% CI

⁶ Risk of bias is unclear, due to unclear allocation and randomisation in most studies

⁷ Total population size less than 400
